

Operation of a double-phase pure argon Large Electron Multiplier Time Projection Chamber: comparison of single and double phase operation

A. Badertscher, L. Knecht, M. Laffranchi, D. Lussi, A. Marchionni, G. Natterer, P. Otiougova, F. Resnati, A. Rubbia, T. Viant

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

Abstract

We constructed and operated a double phase (liquid-vapour) pure argon Large Electron Multiplier Time Projection Chamber (LAr LEM-TPC) with a sensitive area of $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$ and up to 30 cm of drift length. The LEM is a macroscopic hole electron multiplier built with standard PCB techniques: drifting electrons are extracted from the liquid to the vapour phase and driven into the holes of the LEM where the multiplication occurs. Moving charges induce a signal on the anode and on the LEM electrodes. The orthogonally segmented upper face of the upper LEM and anode permit the reconstruction of X-Y spatial coordinates of ionizing events. The detector is equipped with a Photo Multiplier Tube immersed in liquid for triggering the ionizing events and an argon purification circuit to ensure long drift paths. Cosmic muon tracks have been recorded and further characterization of the detector is ongoing. We believe that this proof of principle represents an important milestone in the realization of very large, long drift (cost-effective) LAr detectors for next generation neutrino physics and proton decay experiments, as well as for direct search of Dark Matter with imaging devices.

Key words: Liquid argon, Pure argon, Double phase, TPC, LEM, Calorimetry, Tracking, Gas Detector

PACS: 29.40.Gx, 29.40.Vj, 29.40.Mc, 29.40.Cs

1. Introduction

The detector described in this paper is a pure liquid argon based Time Projection Chamber (TPC) capable of charge amplification by means of two stages Large Electron Multiplier (LEM) with anode readout in the argon vapour region above the liquid surface.

This detector, that we call double phase (liquid-vapour) pure argon LEM-TPC, is a tracking and a calorimetric device that allows to reconstruct three-dimensionally the position and the morphology of the ionizing event in addition to the energy lost in the active volume.

The use of the LEM is motivated by the fact that it can operate in pure argon gas and in cryogenic environment, as required in liquid argon TPCs, moreover the gain can be adjusted to match a wide spectrum of physics requirements. We believe that this technology is scalable up to very large detector, instrumenting the surface with a collection of $\sim 1 \times 1 \text{ m}^2$ independently operating pieces: applications of such a detector are next generation neutrino physics, proton decay experiments [1] and direct dark matter search with imaging detectors [2].

The principle of operation of the LEM-TPC is described in section 2, the construction details are addressed in section 3 and 4. In section 5 we will describe the operation of the LEM-TPC with the LEMs completely immersed in liquid argon (gain 1) and the operation in double phase conditions (gain 10), focusing on the difference between these two modes of operation.

2. Principle of operation

A charged particle crossing the liquid argon active volume produces electron-ion ionization pairs; the quasi-free electrons surviving recombination drift under the action of a uniform electric field towards the liquid-vapour interface, see figure 1. Two grids across the liquid surface provide an electric field high enough to extract electrons from the liquid to the vapour phase [3]. The electrons are driven into the holes of the first LEM where they undergo Townsend multiplication [4]; the amplified charge enters into a second stage of multiplication and finally is collected on the anode and top LEM face electrodes.

The LEM is a thick and macroscopic hole multiplier directly extrapolated from the GEM detectors [5]. Compared to GEMs, LEMs are more rigid and they are produced with standard Printed Circuit Board (PCB) method: millimeter-size holes are precisely drilled through a double side copper-cladded millimeter-thick Flame Retardant 4 (FR4) plate. Table 1 summarizes the technical characteristics of the LEMs that were used.

A naturally-confined uniform electric field is obtained inside the holes of the LEM by applying a potential difference across the two metalized faces. This suggests to use the same formalism of the parallel plate chamber, so that we can write the gain as $G = \exp(\alpha x)$ [6], where x is the effective amplification path length and α is the first Townsend coefficient. The dependence of α on the gas density (ρ) and the electric field (E) is approximated by [7]: $\alpha = A \rho \exp(-B \rho / E)$, where A and B are parameters depending on the gas.

LEM specifications	
material	copper-cladded FR4
active area	10x10 cm ²
PCB thickness	1.6 mm
copper layer thickness	18 μ m
holes diameter	500 μ m
hole rim	50 μ m
hole pitch	800 μ m
readout strip width	6 mm
total # readout strips	2x16

Table 1: Large Electron Multiplier (LEM) characteristics.




Figure 1: Schematic representation of the LEM-TPC.

With the usually adopted electric fields, about half of the multiplied electrons coming out from the LEMs holes are collected on the anode and half on the top face of the second LEM, inducing signal on both planes. Additionally moving positive ions contribute to the signal of the LEM plane. Each electrode is divided into 16 strips 6 mm wide in order to reconstruct the position of the ionizing event in the plane (X-Y) parallel to the liquid argon level. The depth of the event is proportional to the drift time and the interaction time is given by the argon scintillation recorded with a Photo Multiplier Tube (PMT), located in the liquid below the cathode.

For a more precise description of the LEM-TPC working principle and the description of the multiplication mechanism in the LEM holes see [6].

3. Detector description

The aim of this section is to briefly present the detector. The interested reader can find a complete description of the experimental apparatus in [6]. In section 4 we shortly discuss the liquid argon purification system, not described in [6].




Figure 2: Picture of LEM-TPC, see text.

A picture of the LEM-TPC is shown in figure 2. A cryogenic PMT [8], with the photocathode coated with tetraphenylbutadiene (TPB) wavelength shifter, is installed below the cathode grid, shielded by a ground grid between the PMT and the cathode. The uniformity of the electric field for the 10 cm drift (extensible up to 30 cm) is provided by a set of stainless steel field shapers. The liquid argon level is between the two extraction grids (stainless steel wires, 100 μ m diameter and 5 mm pitch) and it is monitored with three custom made capacitive level meters. Above the grids there are the LEM stages (LEM characteristics are summarized in table 1) and the signal collection system (called anode). Ceramic capacitors (two 470 pF capacitor in series per channel) are used to decouple the signal from the high voltage, surge arresters towards ground protect the electronics from discharges. A custom made Kapton flex-print is used to connect the outside electronics. The detector, constructed with UHV standards to ensure the purity of the argon, is contained in a vessel immersed in an external liquid argon bath to maintain stable thermodynamic conditions. The digitizing and the data acquisition system is a

CAEN SY2791 [9] operating at 2 MS/s. The preamplifiers are low-noise JFET charge preamp and shaper custom developed by us (~ 11 mV/fC, rise-time ~ 0.6 μ s and fall-time ~ 2 μ s) and directly pluggable into the CAEN module.

4. Purity system

Figure 3 shows a schematic of the liquid argon purification circuit. Two different purification systems are used to guarantee the purity needed to drift electrons in liquid argon: a custom made cartridge to purify the liquid argon when filling the detector and a gas argon recirculation system.

During the filling liquid argon is fed into the custom made filter, filled with pure copper powder. The copper oxidizes trapping oxygen impurities from the liquid; this cartridge can be regenerated by increasing the temperature and flushing argon-hydrogen mixture to induce $\text{CuO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cu} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

To maintain the purity for long periods the liquid argon is evaporated and pushed by a metal bellow pump through a commercial getter [10]. The cleaned gas argon is re-condensed into the detector by means of a spiral radiator. The argon flow is regulated via a needle valve at the input of the pump and it is monitored with a mass flow meter mounted between the pump and the getter. We operate the recirculation at 7.5 slm: two days are needed for a full volume change.

Other precautions are used to ensure a good purity: after the assembly, the detector, the recirculation system and the cartridges are pumped down to 10^{-7} - 10^{-6} mbar. To speed up the cleaning we warm the detector vessel from outside without exceeding 50 °C, mainly not to damage the photocathode of the PMT. Moreover the argon filling procedure is crucial: when a sufficient vacuum is achieved the detector is filled with 99.9999 % pure argon gas and the recirculation system is turned on while cooling the detector. This procedure has two advantages: the outgassed molecules are trapped into the getter cartridge during the recirculation and the presence of argon gas inside the detector favors uniform and fast cooling of all the parts. Drift electron lifetimes of some hundreds of microseconds have been achieved corresponding to an oxygen equivalent impurity contamination of ppb or less.

5. Operation in liquid argon and in double phase

Picture 4 shows a cosmic muon track recorded with the LEMs and the anode completely immersed in liquid: the Townsend multiplication mechanism does not occur in this case given the considered electric fields.

A simple digital filter was applied in order to reduce a coherent noise induced by the cathode power supply. The filter subtract the average signal of all channels to each waveform. The signal to noise ration after the noise reduction is about 80/5.

The aim of this measurement is to test the transparency of the amplification system.

The electric field configuration is summarized in table 2 together with the field configuration of double phase operation.




Figure 3: Scheme of the purification system.

	distances (mm)	$\Delta V/x$ (kV/cm)	
		liquid	double phase
Anode-LEM ₂	2	2.1	2.1
LEM ₂	1.6	27	26
LEM ₂ -LEM ₁	2	1.7	1.5
LEM ₁	1.6	27	26
Drift	95	0.8	0.7

Table 2: Electric field configuration for liquid and double phase operation.

In double phase operation the liquid argon surface is positioned between the two extraction grids in a 2.8 kV/cm electric field. Electron amplification occurs in cold argon vapour (~ 1 bar and 87 K), about 3.4 times denser than at STP.

The figure 5 shows a typical cosmic ray long track. In this case no noise reduction was applied, because the amplification improves considerably the signal to noise ratio ($S/N = 800/10$). The base line distortion apparent on the LEM electrode signals is not due to a failure or cross-talk of the electronics. We interpret it as a capacitive pickup on the upper LEM electrode of the physical signals induced on the lower LEM face, which could be cured by connecting the lower LEM face to a filter capacitor.

From the average energy loss of cosmic muons we evaluate the effective gain of the LEM-TPC to be about 10: a minimum ionizing muon in liquid argon at 0.5-1 kV/cm electric field releases about 10 fC/cm of drifting electrons and on average the collected charge on the anode is 100 fC/cm. It is evident the improvement of the signal to noise ratio between the liquid operation and the double phase operation. In addition a stable gain of 10 can compensate the degradation of the signal due to impurities for very long drift paths. This fact makes the LEM-TPC an interesting device for very long drift, cost-effective, liquid argon experiments for neutrino physics and proton decay search.

6. Conclusions

We operated a novel kind of liquid argon TPC based on a segmented LEM readout system, that allows charge amplification in argon vapour.




Figure 4: Typical cosmic muon track with LEMs immersed in liquid (gain 1). Top: the gray scale is proportional to the signal amplitude, the channel number (0-15 anode view and 16-31 second LEM top electrode view) is reported on the x-axis and the arrival time of the ionizing event on the y-axis. Bottom: the 32 waveforms are plotted, the signals of the LEM plane on top and the ones of the anode below.




Figure 5: Typical cosmic muon track in double phase operation. Top: the gray scale is proportional to the signal amplitude, the channel number (0-15 anode view and 16-31 second LEM top electrode view) is reported on the x-axis and the arrival time of the ionizing event on the y-axis. Bottom: the 32 waveforms are plotted, the signals of the LEM plane on top and the ones of the anode below.

We discussed in this paper the differences between the operation of the LEM-TPC in single phase (LEMs and anode immersed in LAr), where no gain is achievable, and the double phase operation (liquid-vapour), where the gain was set to about 10. From the cosmic muon tracks we evaluate a considerable improvement in the signal to noise ratio.

Additional tests are needed to investigate the long term stability of the pure argon double phase LEM-TPC and the maximum stable gain achievable. A gain of 10 can compensate for the attenuation of the collected charge for drifts of the order of 10 m.

For direct Dark Matter search higher gains ($\gtrsim 100$) are needed to reach an energy threshold down to tens of keV for nuclear recoil detection.

References

- [1] A. Rubbia, Experiments for CP-Violation: a giant liquid argon scintillation, Cerenkov and charge imaging experiment?, arXiv:hep-ph/0402110.
- [2] A. Rubbia, ArDM: a ton-scale liquid argon experiment for direct detection of Dark Matter in the Universe, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 39 (2006) 129.
- [3] E. M. Gushchin, A. A. Kruglov and I. M. Obodovskiĭ, Emission of 'hot' electrons from liquid and solid argon and xenon, Sov. Phys. JETP 55 (1982) 860.

- [4] F. Sauli, Principles of operation of multiwire proportional and drift chambers, Lectures given in the Academic Training Programme of CERN 1975-1976, Geneva, 1977.
- [5] F. Sauli, GEM: A new concept for electron amplification in gas detectors, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 396 (1997) 531.
- [6] A. Badertscher et al., Construction and operation of a double phase LAr Large Electron Multiplier Time Projection Chamber, arXiv:0811.3384.
- [7] T. Aoyama, Generalized gas gain formula for proportional counters, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 234 (1985) 125.
- [8] Hamamatsu R6237-01.
- [9] CAEN S.p.A., Viareggio, Italy, www.caen.it.
- [10] SAES Pure Gas Inc., MicroTorr MC400.